Assessment Rubric for Undergraduate Research Proposal for STEM The Office of Undergraduate Research, Lamar University

Name(s) of Student Researcher(s):

Area of research/Discipline:

Title of Proposal:

D 1 D 1 1 D	1' C/	O 1 '	/ 1			`
Part I. Point R	ating of (STAMORIAS	INIASCA	$\Delta I I I I$	INTAGA	SCOLOGI
Tall I. I Ullil IX	atility of t	valegories	(bicase	UI V C	IIIILEGEI	3001031
			VI	0		,

Criterion	Missing or Unacceptable (-5.0)	Developing (0.0)	Accomplished (3.0-5.0)	Exemplary (7.0-10.0)
Title and abstract	Title or abstract were missing or	Title or abstract lacks	Title and abstract are	Title and abstract are concise,
	inappropriate given the	relevance or fails to offer	relevant and of required	informative, and clearly
Score:	problem, research questions,	appropriate details about	size, offering details	indicate the relevant details
	and method.	the proposed study or is too	about the proposed	of the proposed study.
		lengthy.	study.	
Research question,	Research question(s),	Elements are poorly formed,	Research questions are	Articulates clear, reasonable,
hypothesis	definitions, assumptions and	ambiguous, or not logically	stated clearly and are	and succinct research
	limitations were omitted or	connected to the	connected to the	questions, and questions are
Score:	inappropriate given the context,	description of the problem,	research topic.	fresh, interesting and
	purpose or methods of the	purpose or research		significant.
	study.	methods.		
Research design	The research design is	The research design is	The research design has	The purpose, questions, and
	erroneous for its hypothesis or	confusing or incomplete	been identified and	design are mutually
Score:	has not been identified and or	given the research	described in sufficiently	supportive and coherent.
	described using standard	questions. Important	detailed terms. Some	Appropriate and important
	terminology. Limitations and	limitations and assumptions	limitations and asssump-	limitations and assumptions
	assumptions are omitted.	have not been identified.	tions were identified.	have been clearly stated.
Organization and	The length of the narrative	The content and length of	Proposal format has been	The narrative has the
neatness of the	exceeds the suggested limit as	the proposal are inadequate	followed mostly. The	appropriate length and the
proposal	indicated in the solicitation. The	(i.e. there is some logic in	narrative presents the	ideas are presented in a clear
0	ideas are presented in a random	the narrative part, but the	ideas in an almost	structural and logic manner
Score:	manner with no focus.	ideas lack of clear focus and	structural and logical	identifying reasonable well
		structural argumentation).	manner.	the reasons and means to
				achieve the goal of the
Pudgot and	Pudget and/or timeline are	Pudget and/or timeline are		proposal.
Budget and timeline	Budget and/or timeline are missing or the timeline is	Budget and/or timeline are		
umemie	beyond our suggested time.	present but not adequate to support the project.		
Score:	beyond our suggested time.	зарроги не ргојеси.		
J001 C.				

Part II. Point Rating of the Mentor Support Letter

Criteria	Missing or Unacceptable (-2.5)	Accomplished (+2.5)	Exemplary (+5.0)
Mentor support	The faculty mentor's support	The faculty mentor's support letter	The faculty mentor's support letter strongly
letter	letter is missing or the letter	doesn't strongly indicate that the	indicates that the research project is
	doesn't indicate at all that the	student has enough qualification to	significant and gives strong evidence that the
	project can be completed within	run the project or the project can be	student has the qualifications to carry out the
	the timeline.	completed within the timeline.	project successfully within the time period.
Points			

and weaknesses of the proposal, and a short

1 01110					
Grand Total Points (Part	I+ Part II):				
Part III. Please type in the overall summary of the	nis file at least one sentence on ea proposal:	ch of the foll	owing criteri	a; please list the	strengths
Title and Abstract:					
Comment on the research	ch question or hypothesis:				
Research Design:					
Organization and neatne	ess of the proposal: satisfactory	or not satis	sfactory (pl	lease circle your	option)
Comment on the Budget	and Timeline:				
Strengths:					
Weaknesses:					
Summary:					